

Fast approximate Bayesian inference for small-area estimation of HIV indicators using the Naomi model Adam Howes^{1, 2}, Alex Stringer³, Seth R. Flaxman⁴, Jeffrey W. Eaton²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London
² MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis, School of Public Health, Imperial College London
³ Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Waterloo
⁴ Department of Computer Science, University of Oxford

Summary

- Approximate Bayesian inference method using Laplace approximations and adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature
- Motivated by an evidence synthesis model for small-area estimation of HIV indicators in sub-Saharan Africa
- Looking to implement as a part of the aghq package (Stringer 2021), allowing flexible use of the method for any model with a TMB C++ user template

Inference procedure

• Laplace approximation Integrate out variables using a Gaussian approximation to the denominator

$$p(heta,y) pprox { ilde p}_{ t LA}(heta,y) = rac{p(y,x, heta)}{{ ilde p}_{ t G}(x\,|\, heta,y)} ig|_{x=\hat x(heta)}$$
 where ${ ilde p}_{ t G}(x\,|\, heta,y) = \mathcal{N}(x\,|\,\hat x(heta),\mathbf{H}(heta)^{-1})$

- Fit four inference methods (using one [!] C++ template):
- тмв (3 mins)
- $^{\circ}\,$ aghq (1 mins): k=1
- $^\circ\,$ adam (27 min): k=1
- tmbstan (2.4 days): 4 chains of 100,000 thinned by 40 (required for good diagnostics)
- Figure <u>3</u> illustrates example model outputs: HIV prevalence, ART coverage, HIV incidence, and number of new infections, at the district level

5

The Naomi HIV model

- District-level model of HIV indicators (Eaton et al. 2021) which synthesises data from household surveys, antenatal care (ANC) clinics, and routine service provision of antiretroviral therapy (ART)
- Combining evidence from multiple data sources helps overcome the limitations of any one
- Small-area estimation methods to overcome small district-level sample sizes
- Yearly estimation process: model run interactively by country teams using a web-app naomi.unaids.org
 Figure <u>1</u> illustrates the seven stages of using the app
- Inference conducted in minutes using empirical Bayes (EB) and a Gaussian approximation via Template Model Builder TMB (Kristensen et al. 2016)
- It would take days to get accurate answers with MCMC via tmbstan (Monnahan and Kristensen 2018), and this is not practical in this setting
- Motivates looking for a fast, approximate approach, that takes uncertainty in hyperparameters into account

Use automatic differentiation via CppAD in TMB
 Adaptive Gauss-Hermite Quadrature

 $\int_{\Theta} p(heta) \mathrm{d} heta pprox |L| \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Q}(m,k)} p(\hat{ heta} + Lz) \omega(z)$

where the Gauss-Hermite quadrature rule $z \in \mathcal{Q}(\dim(heta),k)$ with k points per dimension is adapted based upon

- \circ The mode $\hat{ heta} = extsf{argmax}_{ heta \in \Theta} p(heta)$
- $\circ\,$ The lower Cholesky $LL^ op=-\partial_ heta^2\log p(heta)ert_{ heta=\hat heta}$
- Algorithm (called adam for now) summarized by Figure <u>2</u>
 Where possible, previously calculated quantities and quadrature rules are reused





Figure 4: Inference reults and ECDF comparison for one x_i .

- Compare hyperparameter, latent field, and output posterior marginals based on maximum ECDF difference (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test)
- Figure <u>4</u> illustrates this approach for one node in the model with $\mathrm{KS}(\mathtt{TMB})=0.09$ and $\mathrm{KS}(\mathtt{adam})=0.02$

Future directions

Scaling up the hyperparameter grid beyond EB k = 1

Any dense grid would be impractical (k²⁴ nodes)
Alternatives: sparse grids, dense grids on a subspace

Add Laplace matrix algebra approximations (Wood 2020)

to speed up latent field marginal calculations



Figure 1: Model fitting occurs interactively in stages.

Extended latent Gaussian models

- Latent Gaussian models (LGMs) (Rue, Martino, and Chopin 2009) are three stage hierarchical models with observations y, Gaussian latent field x and hyperparameters θ
- In an LGM the conditional mean depends on exactly one structured additive predictor μ_i = g(η_i) with g : ℝ → ℝ
 The R-INLA implementation of integrated nested Laplace approximations applies only to LGMs, because ELGM precision matrices are not as sparse
- Extended latent Gaussian models (ELGM) remove this requirement such that $\mu_i = g(\eta_{\mathcal{J}_i})$ where $g_i : \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{J}_i|} \to \mathbb{R}$

Figure 2: Flowchart describing the algorithm

Application to Malawi data



- More comprehensive inference comparison
 Maximum mean discrepancy
 - Pareto-smoothed importance sampling

Interested? Working notebooks and R code available from
github.com/athowes/elgm-inf. Or get in touch:

- 🛖 athowes.github.io
- 🖂 ath19@ic.ac.uk
- 🎔 adamhowes

Funding AH was supported by the EPSRC and Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. This research was supported by the MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis.

References

Eaton, Jeffrey W., Laura Dwyer-Lindgren, Steve Gutreuter, Megan O'Driscoll, Oliver Stevens, Sumali Bajaj, Rob Ashton, et al. 2021. "Naomi: A New Modelling Tool for Estimating HIV Epidemic Indicators at the District Level in Sub-Saharan Africa." *Journal of*

- and \mathcal{J}_i is some set of indices
- $\circ\,$ Allows a higher degree of non-linearity in the model
- Naomi is an ELGM, not an LGM, because it includes complex dependency structures:
 - 1. ANC indicators offset from household survey
 - 2. Incidence depends on prevalence and ART coverage
 - 3. Observed data are aggregated finer processes
 - Allow attendance of ART clinics outside home district
 ART attendance probability as product of prevalence and coverage
- We extend work of Stringer, Brown, and Stafford (2022) in this setting to the challenging Naomi ELGM
- Though we focus on Naomi here, the HIV Inference Group (hiv-inference.org) works on many other complex models, challenging for existing Bayesian inference methods, which require flexible modelling tools

Figure 3: District-level model outputs for adults 15-49 in January 2016. Adapted from Eaton et al. 2021.

• Relatively small country but still a large model: latent field $\dim(x) = 491$, hyperparameters $\dim(heta) = 24$

the International AIDS Society 24 (S5): e25788.

Kristensen, Kasper, Anders Nielsen, Casper W Berg, Hans Skaug, Bradley M Bell, et al. 2016. "TMB: Automatic Differentiation and Laplace Approximation." *Journal of Statistical Software* 70 (i05).

Monnahan, Cole C, and Kasper Kristensen. 2018. "No-U-turn sampling for fast Bayesian inference in ADMB and TMB: Introducing the adnuts and tmbstan R packages." *PloS One* 13 (5): e0197954.

Rue, Håvard, Sara Martino, and Nicolas Chopin. 2009. "Approximate Bayesian inference for latent Gaussian models by using integrated nested Laplace approximations." *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* 71 (2): 319–92.

Stringer, Alex. 2021. "Implementing Approximate Bayesian Inference Using Adaptive Quadrature: The Aghq Package." *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2101.04468*.

Stringer, Alex, Patrick Brown, and Jamie Stafford. 2022. "Fast, Scalable Approximations to Posterior Distributions in Extended Latent Gaussian Models." *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 1–15.

Wood, Simon N. 2020. "Simplified integrated nested Laplace approximation." *Biometrika* 107 (1): 223–30.